

**Central Coast ITS (CCITS) Plan
Stakeholder Comment Form with Comment Disposition**

Name of Deliverable:			Functional Requirements (All comments received as of 1/30/2006)	
Comment #:	Section:	Page:	Comment:	Disposition of Comment*:
SLOCOG-1		2	Arroyo Grande Advanced Crosswalks are “Programmed”	1 – changed in inventory and FRs
-2		9, 93, (parking mgmt.).	Concerns of “Big Brother”: defund bad tag lists, etc.	1 – removed all violation data collection and sharing from all SLO parking and transit elements
-3			Add SLOCOG 511	4 – already done
-4			SLORTA should be RTA	4 – already done
-5			Add RTA Dynamic Carpool System	4 – already done (RTA SLO Regional Rideshare Website)
SBCAG-1			Many descriptions on the reports are being truncated	3 – working on fixing report bug
-2			The language used to describe the requirements is adequate, particularly the use of the word “shall”, rather than the “will” or “must”	2
-3		1	Amtrak Next Train CMS status should be “Programmed”	1 – changed in inventory and FRs (SB Architecture only)
-4		62	Guadalupe Signal System status should be “Planned”	4 – already done
-5		65	Lompoc Transit should be “City of Lompoc Transit (COLT)”	4 – already done
-6		66	Lompoc Transit Vehicle Locator (AVL) – change title as per above	4 – already done
-7a		130	Santa Barbara (City) Parking Mgmt Sys – rqmt 1 status should be “Existing” (can determine and notify if full)	1 – also modified rqmt 2
-7b		130	Rqmt 4 status should probably also be “Existing”	1
-8		133-139	Santa Barbara County TMC – check statuses (are existing items due to function being done?)	1 – all changed to “Programmed”
-9		141	Santa Barbara MTD AVL status should be “Planned” for rqmts 1 & 2	1
-10		142	Santa Barbara MTD Next Bus should be “Planned”	1
-11		158	Santa Maria TMC should be deleted	4 – already done
-12		165	SBCAG 511 rqmts 1, 2, 6, 7 & 9 should be Planned”	1
-13		166	SBCAG FSP status should be “Programmed”	1
CTD5-1	Var.	Var.	Many descriptions on the reports are being truncated	See SBCAG-1
-2	CTD5 HRI	26	Rqmts 1 – 5 pertain to PUC or RR functions	4 – these are high-level required functions of the equipment/system and are not really organizational
-3	CTD5 HRI	26	Status for rqmt 6 should be “Existing”	4 – status for the element is Programmed so rqmt status should not be Existing

*Comment Disposition Key

1 = comment incorporated 2 = general statement 3 = to be addressed by future task 4 = comment not incorporated (with explanation)

**Central Coast ITS (CCITS) Plan
Stakeholder Comment Form with Comment Disposition**

Name of Deliverable:			Functional Requirements (All comments received as of 1/30/2006)	
Comment #:	Section:	Page:	Comment:	Disposition of Comment*:
-4	CTD5 Ramp Meters	27	Status for rqmts 2 – 6 should be “Planned”	1 – status set to “Programmed” to match ITS element status
-5	CTD5 RWIS	28, 29	No RWIS planned for D5	4 – already done
-6	CTD5 Smart Call Boxes	29	Smart Call Boxes need to be distributed to local MPO/RTPA	4 – already done
-7	CTD5 TMC	30	Status for Collect Traffic Surv. rqmt 4 should be “Existing”	1
-8	CTD5 TMC	30	Status for Emergency Call Taking rqmts 5 & 6 should be “Planned”	1
-9	CTD5 TMC	30	Emergency Call Taking rqmt 8 is under purview of CHP dispatch (or PCAP)	1
-10	CTD5 TMC	31	Status for Emerg. Comrc. Veh. Resp. rqmt 1 should be “Planned”	1
-11	CTD5 TMC	31	Status for Emerg. Data Coll. rqmts 1 & 2 should be “Planned”	1
-12	CTD5 TMC	32	Rqmt 4 should be deleted (District Public Affiars)	1
-13	CTD5 TMC	32	Rqmt 5 should be “The center shall coordinate with other Agencies as well as store emergency response plans (Existing)”	1
-14	CTD5 TMC	32	Rqmts 7 & 8 should note that responses are for state highways only	1
-15	CTD5 TMC	32	Status for rqmt 9 should be “Planned”	1
-16	CTD5 TMC	33	HRI Rqmts 1 – 4 & 6 pertain to PUC or RR functions	1
-17	CTD5 TMC	33	Reword HRI Rqmt 5 to reflect existing coordination with signals	1
-18	CTD5 TMC	34	FSP is not a CT-managed operation; move to individual MPO/RTPA (& PCACs)	1

*Comment Disposition Key

1 = comment incorporated 2 = general statement 3 = to be addressed by future task 4 = comment not incorporated (with explanation)

**Central Coast ITS (CCITS) Plan
Stakeholder Comment Form with Comment Disposition**

Name of Deliverable:			Functional Requirements (All comments received as of 1/30/2006)	
Comment #:	Section:	Page:	Comment:	Disposition of Comment*:
-19	CTD5 TMC	34	No TMC Environmental Monitoring rqmts.	1
-20	CTD5 TMC	34	TMC Fwy Mgmt Rqmts 1 – 4 status should be “Planned”	1
-21	CTD5 TMC	36	Modify rqmt 4 to reflect CT website and change status to “Existing”	1
-22	CTD5 TMC	36	Status for rqmts 5 & 10 should be “Planned”	1
-23	CTD5 TMC	37	Status for TMC Signal Control rqmts 1 –5 should be “Existing”	1

*Comment Disposition Key

1 = comment incorporated 2 = general statement 3 = to be addressed by future task 4 = comment not incorporated (with explanation)